

1 - Program Type (up to 10 points)	Points	Score
Permanent Supportive Housing for Chronic Homeless Individuals and families	10	
Permanent Supportive Housing - Other, prioritization plan for Chronic Preferences	7	
Permanent Supportive Housing for Veterans	6	
Permanent Supportive Housing - Other	6	
Rapid Re-Housing Program for Homeless Youth, Victims Fleeing Domestic Violence and Homeless Families	10	
Transitional Housing Program for Homeless Youth, Victims of Domestic Violence	5	
Transitional Housing - Other	5	
New SSO Specifically for Coordinated Assessment System	2	
Renewal Supportive Services Only Projects	0	
2 - Participation in CoC (up to 5 points)		
100% attendance at SDHHC Quarterly Meetings	5	Percent
75% attendance at SDHHC Quarterly Meetings	2	Score
50% or less attendance at SDHHC Quarterly Meetings	0	
3 - Commits to the use of a Housing First Model (up to 5 points)		
Yes - already following Housing First Model	5	
Yes - Commits to Adoption of Housing First Model by February 1, 2017	3	
No Commitment to a Housing First Model	0	
4 - Housing Stability (up to 8 points) Q36a.		
Exceeds Benchmark of 84% clients remained in PH or exited to PH	8	Percent
Met benchmark of 84% of clients who remained in PH or exited to PH	6	Score
Missed benchmark but provided a detailed plan for improvement by February 1, 2017	3	
Missed benchmark and no plan for improvement	0	
5 - Employment Income (up to 8 points) Q36a.		
Exceeds benchmark of 20% or more of adults maintained or increased earned income	8	Percent
Met benchmark of 20% or more of adults maintained or increased earned income	6	Score
Missed benchmark, but provided a detailed plan for improvement by February 1, 2017	3	
Missed benchmark and no plan for improvement	0	
6 - Non-Employment Income (up to 8 points) Q36a.		
Exceeded benchmark of 54% or more of adults increased total income	8	Percent
Met benchmark of 54% or more of adults increased total income	6	Score
Missed benchmark, but provided a detailed plan for improvement by February 1, 2017	3	
Missed benchmark and no plan for improvement	0	
7 - Leveraging (up to 10 points)		
Documented leverage of 175% or more	10	Percent
Documented leverage of 150% to 174%	6	Score
Documented leverage of 100% to 149%	3	
No documented leverage	0	
8 - Funds Expended (up to 7 points)		
100% expended	7	Percent
90% to 99% expended	5	Score
85% to 89% expended	3	
Under 85% expended	0	
9 - HMIS Data Quality (up to 7 points)		
5% or less null/missing data	7	Percent
6-10% null/missing data	4	Score
Over 10% null/missing data	0	
10 - Program Management (up to 14 points)		
No HUD Audit/APR Findings or Concerns	7	Score
No SDHHC Audit/APR Findings or Concerns	7	
Most Recent HUD Audit/APR Concerns	3	
Most recent SDHHC Audit/APR Concerns	3	
Most recent HUD Audit/APR Findings - with detailed plan for improvement, by February 1, 2017	1	
Most recent SDHHC Audit/APR Findings with detailed plan for improvement by February 1, 2017	1	
Most recent HUD Audit/APR Findings with no plan for improvement	0	
Most recent SDHHC Audit/APR Findings with no plan for improvement	0	